

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

SHENZHEN ROOT TECHNOLOGY CO.  
LTD. et al.,

CASE NO. C23-0631-KKE

## ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

V.

CHIARO TECHNOLOGY LTD.,

Defendant(s).

The parties stipulated to a continuance of the Plaintiffs/Counterclaim Defendants' deadline to respond to Shenzhen TPH Technology Co., Ltd.'s cross-claims. Dkt. No. 153. The Court GRANTS this motion.

However, the Court notes that this is the eighth stipulated motion by the parties to modify the case schedule. *See* Dkt. Nos. 63, 73, 106, 137, 145, 148, 150, 153. Even if the parties agree to amendment, they must nonetheless demonstrate good cause to modify the schedule. Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 16(b)(4); Local Rule W.D. Wash. LCR 7(b)(6). While the parties indicated agreement in their motion, they provided no reason for continuance. Dkt. No. 153. The Court cautions that future requests for continuance—even if stipulated—will be denied if the parties fail to state good cause for modification.

1  
2 Dated this 26th day of March, 2025.  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24



---

Kymberly K. Evanson  
United States District Judge